



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,943	10/12/2000	Howard E. Rhodes	M4065.0112/P112-A	5424

24998 7590 05/21/2003

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP  
2101 L STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, KHIEM D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2823

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/686,943

Applicant(s)

RHODES, HOWARD E.

Examiner

Khiem D Nguyen

Art Unit

2823

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 May 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 60-83,85-87,89,90 and 94-99 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 60-83,85-87,89,90 and 94-99 is/are rejected.

- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114*

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/14/2003 has been entered.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 60-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan et al. (U.S. Patent 6,171,883) in view of Akio (U.S. Patent 5,691,548), Osawa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,071,443) and Fossum (U.S. Patent 5,887,049).

Fan teaches a method of forming a microlens array for use in an imaging device, said method comprising the steps of (See col. 5, line 10 to col. 9 line 59 and FIGS. 1-2):

providing a substrate 10 having an array of pixel sensor cells (12a, 12b, 12c, 12d) formed thereon and a protective layer 16 over the cells;

forming a spacer layer 22 in contact with the protective layer wherein the spacer layer 22 having a thickness of from about 20,000 to about 30,000 angstroms (2-3  $\mu\text{m}$ ) (col. 7, lines 51-54);

forming a lens forming layer over and in contact with the spacer layer;

forming a microlens array (24a, 24b, 24c, 24d) from the lens forming layer; and forming a radiation transparent insulation layer 25 wherein the insulation layer includes silicon insulator material such as silicon nitride (col. 7, lines 42-43) on the microlens layers (col. 8, lines 45 to col. 9, line 59).

Fan fails to explicitly disclose wherein forming a radiation transparent insulation layer on the microlens array for increasing the proportion of radiation incident on the pixel sensor cells as recited in present claim 60. However, the disclose process would obtain the recited results because the same materials are treated in the same manner as in the instant invention.

Fan fails to teach forming the lens forming layer by a spin-coating process wherein the lens forming layer is a layer of material selected from the group consisting of optical thermoplastic, polyimide, thermoset resin, photosensitive gelatin, and radiation curable resin and wherein the optical thermoplastic is selected from the group consisting of polymethylmethacrylate, polycarbonate, polyolefin, cellulose acetate butyrate, and polystyrene as recited in present claims 63-65.

Akio teaches forming a lens layer by spin-coating technique using photosensitive resin based on thermoplastic resin wherein the thermoplastic is selected from polystyrene. See col. 9, lines 15-34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of

making semiconductor to incorporate Akio teaching into Fan's method because in doing so it is possible to easily obtain an ideal concave lens configuration. See col. 9, lines 40-41.

Fan fails to teach that the radiation curable resin is selected from the group consisting of acrylate, methacrylate, urethane acrylate, epoxy acrylate, and polyester acrylate as recited in present claim 66.

Osawa teaches forming a lens sheet using radiation curable resin selected from urethane acrylate. See col. 6, lines 41-53. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor to incorporate Osawa teaching into Fan's method because in doing so a lens sheet having no damage on the lens area can be obtained. See col. 6, lines 41-53.

Fan fails to teach that the substrate further comprises a CMOS pixel array of a CCD pixel array formed thereon as recited in present claims 61-62.

Fossum teaches a substrate 101 comprises a CMOS pixel array 104 or a CCD pixel arrays formed thereon. See col. 3, lines 3-17 and FIG 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor to incorporate Fossum teaching into Fan's method because doing so can speed up the processing speed and save memory space (col. 4, lines 54-56).

Fan fails to teach that the low temperature is a temperature within the range of approximately 200 to 400 degrees Celsius as recited in present claim 70.

However, there is no evidence indicating that the low temperature is critical and it has been held that it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges of a

result-effective variable within given prior art conditions by routine experimentation. See MPEP 2144.05.

3. Claims 73-83 and 85-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan et al. (U.S. Patent 6,171,883) in view of Akio (U.S. Patent 5,691,548) and Osawa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,071,443).

Fan teaches a method of forming a microlens array for use in an imaging device, said method comprising the steps of (See col. 5, line 10 to col. 9 line 59 and FIGS. 1-2):

forming a lens forming layer on an imaging device;

treating the lens forming layer by thermally reflowed at a temperature within the range of approximately 144 to 176 degrees Celsius to form a plurality of microlenses (24a, 24b, 24c, 24d) wherein a spacer layer 22 having a thickness of from about 20,000 to about 30,000 angstroms (2-3  $\mu\text{m}$ ) is formed under the microlens layers before formation of the lens forming layer (col. 7, lines 51-54); and

depositing a radiation transparent insulation layer 25 wherein the insulator layer includes silicon insulator material such as silicon nitride (col. 7, lines 42-43) on each microlens at a temperature of about 100° C (col. 10, lines 15-25).

Fan fails to explicitly disclose wherein depositing a radiation transparent insulation layer on each microlens for increasing the proportion of radiation incident on the pixel sensor cells as recited in present claim 73. However, the disclose process would obtain the recited results because the same materials are treated in the same manner as in the instant invention.

Fan fails to teach that the lens forming layer is a layer of material selected from the group consisting of optical thermoplastic, polyimide, thermoset resin, photosensitive gelatin, and radiation curable resin and wherein the optical thermoplastic is selected from the group consisting of polymethylmethacrylate, polycarbonate, polyolefin, cellulose acetate butyrate, and polystyrene as recited in present claims 74-75.

Akio teaches forming a lens layer by spin-coating technique using photosensitive resin based on thermoplastic resin wherein the thermoplastic is selected from polystyrene. See col. 9, lines 15-34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor to incorporate Akio teaching into Fan's method because in doing so it is possible to easily obtain an ideal concave lens configuration. See col. 9, lines 40-41.

Fan fails to teach that the radiation curable resin is selected from the group consisting of acrylate, methacrylate, urethane acrylate, epoxy acrylate, and polyester acrylate as recited in present claim 76.

Osawa teaches forming a lens sheet using radiation curable resin selected from urethane acrylate. See col. 6, lines 41-53. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor to incorporate Osawa teaching into Fan's method because in doing so a lens sheet having no damage on the lens area can be obtained. See col. 6, lines 41-53.

Fan fails to teach wherein depositing a radiation transparent insulation layer on each microlens at a temperature within the range of approximately 200° to 400° C as recited in present claim 73.

However, there is no evidence indicating that the deposition temperature is critical and it has been held that it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges of a result-effective variable within given prior art conditions by routine experimentation. See MPEP 2144.05.

4. Claims 87, 89, 90, and 94-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan et al. (U.S. Patent 6,171,883) in view of Akio (U.S. Patent 5,691,548) and Fossum (U.S. Patent 5,887,049).

Fan teaches a method of forming a microlens array for use in an imaging device, said method comprising the steps of (See col. 5, line 10 to col. 9 line 59 and FIGS. 1-2):  
forming a lens forming layer or radiation curable resin on an imaging device;  
patterning the lens forming layer to form a plurality of lens forming regions;  
treating the plurality of lens forming regions with a radiation exposure step to form a plurality of microlens (24a, 24b, 24c, 24d) (col. 10, lines 15-25) wherein a spacer layer 22 having a thickness of from about 20,000 to about 30,000 angstroms (2-3  $\mu\text{m}$ ) is formed under the microlens layers before formation of the lens forming layer (col. 7, lines 29-59); and

forming a radiation transparent insulation layer 25 wherein the insulation layer includes silicon insulator material such as silicon nitride (col. 7, lines 42-43) on the plurality of microlenses (col. 8, lines 57-59).

Fan fails to explicitly disclose wherein forming a radiation transparent insulation layer on the plurality of microlenses array for increasing the proportion of radiation incident on the pixel sensor cells as recited in present claim 87. However, the disclose



process would obtain the recited results because the same materials are treated in the same manner as in the instant invention.

Fan fails to teach that the lens forming layer is a layer of material selected from the group consisting of optical thermoplastic, polyimide, thermoset resin, photosensitive gelatin, and radiation curable resin as recited in present claim 88.

Akio teaches forming a lens layer by spin-coating technique using photosensitive resin based on thermoplastic resin. See col. 9, lines 15-34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor to incorporate Akio teaching into Fan's method because in doing so it is possible to easily obtain an ideal concave lens configuration. See col. 9, lines 40-41.

Fan fails to teach that the substrate further comprises a CMOS pixel array of a CCD pixel array formed thereon as recited in present claims 89-90.

Fossum teaches a substrate 101 comprises a CMOS pixel array 104 or a CCD pixel arrays formed thereon. See col. 3, lines 3-17 and FIG 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor to incorporate Fossum teaching into Fan's method because doing so can speed up the processing speed and save memory space. See col. 4, lines 54-56.

Fan fails to teach wherein said insulation layer forming step comprises a plasma deposition step carried out at a temperature within the range of approximately 200 to 400 degrees Celsius as recited in present claim 96.

However, there is no evidence indicating that the temperature of the plasma deposition step is critical and it has been held that it is not inventive to discover the

optimum or workable range of a result-effective variable within given prior art conditions by routine experimentation. See MPEP 2144.05.

5. Claim 99 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan et al. (U.S. Patent 6,171,883) in view of Akio (U.S. Patent 5,691,548).

Fan teaches a method of forming a microlens array for use in an imaging device, said method comprising the steps of (See col. 5, line 10 to col. 9 line 59 and FIGS. 1-2):

forming a lens forming layer on an imaging device;

patterning the lens forming layer to form a plurality of lens forming regions;

heat treating the plurality of lens forming regions to form a plurality of microlenses (24a, 24b, 24c, 24d) (col. 7, lines 4-28); and

depositing a radiation transparent insulation layer 25 wherein the insulator layer includes silicon insulator material such as silicon nitride (col. 7, lines 42-43) on the plurality of microlenses at a temperature of about 100° C (col. 10, lines 15-25).

Fan fails to explicitly disclose wherein depositing a radiation transparent insulation layer on the plurality of microlenses for increasing the proportion of radiation incident on the pixel sensor cells as recited in present claim 99. However, the disclose process would obtain the recited results because the same materials are treated in the same manner as in the instant invention.

Fan fails to teach that the lens forming layer is a layer of material selected from the group consisting of optical thermoplastic, polyimide, and thermoset resin as recited in present claim 99.

Akio teaches forming a lens layer by spin-coating technique using photosensitive resin based on thermoplastic resin. See col. 9, lines 15-34. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of making semiconductor to incorporate Akio teaching into Fan's method because in doing so it is possible to easily obtain an ideal concave lens configuration. See col. 9, lines 40-41.

Fan fails to teach depositing a transparent insulation layer on the plurality of microlenses at a temperature within the range of approximately 200 to 400 degrees Celsius as recited in present claim 99. However, there is no evidence indicating that the temperature of the deposition step is critical and it has been held that it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges of a result-effective variable within given prior art conditions by routine experimentation. See MPEP 2144.05.


### *Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem D Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0210. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaudhuri Olik can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-9179 for regular communications and (703) 746-9179 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

K.N.  
May 16, 2003

  
Gik Choudhury  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2800